## 

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

United States of America, )	Case No. CR 3:23 - CR - 374 Em
Plaintiff,  v.  Desus Samaiel Erics - Gamez  Defendant.	STIPULATED ORDER EXCLUDING TIME UNDER THE SPEEDY TRIAL ACT
For the reasons stated by the parties on the record time under the Speedy Trial Act from	outweigh the best interest of the public and the
Failure to grant a continuance would be like See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(i).	kely to result in a miscarriage of justice.
The case is so unusual or so complex, due of defendants, the nature of the prosecution fact or law, that it is unreasonable to expect adequate trial itself within the time limits established by the	nate preparation for pretrial proceedings or the
Failure to grant a continuance would deny counsel, taking into account the exercise of 3161(h)(7)(B)(iv).	
Failure to grant a continuance would unrecounsel, given counsel's other scheduled case cordue diligence. <i>See</i> 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(iv)	nmitments, taking into account the exercise of
Failure to grant a continuance would unrestime necessary for effective preparation, taking in 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(iv).	
With the consent of the defendant, and tak prompt disposition of criminal cases, the court set the first paragraph and — based on the parties' shextending the time limits for a preliminary hearin and for extending the 30-day time period for an in the exclusions set forth above). See Fed. R. Crim.	s the preliminary hearing to the date set forth in owing of good cause — finds good cause for g under Federal Rule of Criminal Procedure 5.1 adictment under the Speedy Trial Act (based on
IT IS SO ORDERED.	An
DATED: 11/2/23	SALLIE KIM United States Magistrate Judge
Attorney for Defendant	Assistant United States Attorney